UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/594,016	09/22/2006	Johan Pragt	NL040321US1	7303
	7590 10/07/201 LLECTUAL PROPER	RTY & STANDARDS  DEXTER, CLARK F  8001	IINER	
PO BOX 3001			DEXTER, CLARK F	
BRIARCLIFF	MANOR, NY 10510-8	001	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			3724	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/07/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Comments	10/594,016	PRAGT ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Clark F. Dexter	3724					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	I. ely filed the mailing date of this con (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•				
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 Au	iauet 2010						
	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar		secution as to the	marite ie				
closed in accordance with the practice under E			monto io				
closed in accordance with the practice under L	x parte quayre, 1955 C.D. 11, 40	5 O.G. 215.					
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,5-8,10 and 12</u> is/are pending in th	e application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) <u>1-3,5-8,10 and 12</u> is/are rejected.	· ·· <del></del>						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement						
and daughter to receive and analysis	olootion roquiromonia						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>12 June 2009</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 LLS C & 119(a)	-(d) or (f)					
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	priority under 35 0.5.6. § 119(a)	-(u) or (i).					
·— <u> </u>	s have been received						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents		NI					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	• •	<u> </u>	.,				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	d in this National S	stage				
application from the International Bureau							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)  Other:	and the feature of th					

Art Unit: 3724

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on August 3, 2010 has been entered.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112, 1st paragraph

- 2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 3. Claims 1-3, 5-7, 10 and 12 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

The original disclosure still does not appear to provide support for a tooth (i.e., claimed as "a corresponding tooth") that has both a cutting edge (i.e., the claimed cutting edge) and an abutment as now set forth in the claims. Rather, support appears

Art Unit: 3724

to be provided for one tooth (e.g., 4) having a cutting edge (e.g., 10) and a cooperating tooth (e.g., 6) having an abutment (e.g., 15).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112, 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 1-3, 5-7, 10 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, lines 13-14, the recitation "of the first cutting tooth" renders the claim vague and appears to be inaccurate, and it seems that "first" should read --second--.

In claim 2, line 3, the recitation "a row of substantially V-shaped teeth" is vague as to whether it is referring to the teeth set forth in claim 1 or to other such teeth.

## Claims Not Rejected Over Prior Art

6. Claims 1-3, 5-7, 10 and 12 are considered to read over the prior art of record because the prior art or record does not teach or suggest the claimed combination of features including a tooth wherein one of the cooperating tooth edges includes both a cutting edge and an abutment as claimed; that is, an abutment is formed in a zone between a tip of the tooth and the cutting edge. However, these claims **cannot** be considered to be "allowable" at this time due to the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 1<sup>st</sup> paragraph set forth in this Office action. Therefore, upon the claims being rewritten or

Art Unit: 3724

amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112 set forth in this Office action, further consideration of these claims with respect to the prior art will be necessary.

### Response to Arguments

- 7. Applicant's arguments filed August 3, 2010 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In particular, it is respectfully submitted that applicant has not sufficiently addressed the remaining rejection under 35 USC 112, first paragraph, and an explanation of directed to the rejection should be provided.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Clark F. Dexter whose telephone number is (571)272-4505. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays, Tuesdays, Thursdays and Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Boyer D. Ashley can be reached on (571)272-4502. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3724

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Clark F. Dexter/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3724

cfd October 1, 2010